



SCF Purchasing  
7033 East Tudor Road  
Anchorage, AK 99507

To: Prospective Bidders  
Date: June 13th, 2025

RE: Addendum No. 1  
RFP25-1169- VNPCC Expansion &  
Remodel-Special Inspection, Testing  
Services.

Issue Date: June 13<sup>th</sup>, 2025

This document forms a part of the purchasing Documents and modifies the original Procurement Documents dated May 28th, 2025. Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the provided on the Bid Form. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this addendum may subject Proposers to disqualification.

This Addendum consists of One (2) page. Two (2) documents

- 
- 1) RFP SCF25-1169-Questions, Answers and Comments
  - 2) Updating access to Exhibit E: Design Development Drawings at 65%

|   | Date Received | Comment or Question Provided by Bidder   | SCF Response   | RFP Reference (If Applicable) |
|---|---------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 6/3/25        | How do I access Exhibit E, the 65% drawings?   | We have issued access to the Footing and Foundations dwgs. That surpassed 65% dwgs.  |                               |
| 2 | 6/3/25        | Are there specifications?  | Yes. We can share as an amendment 2 volumes of specifications (sharing in separate email as files are large pdf's )  |                               |
| 3 | 6/3/25        | How should the RFP address subcontractors?   | All subcontractors will fall under contract with the Awarded Contractor, vetted by SCF. The Awarded Contractor will be contracted with SCF.  |                               |
| 4 | 6/3/25        | the evaluation criteria table on page 10 of the RFP. What will be the criteria for each item? Will it be all or nothing or will there be points awarded based on the committee's discretion?   | The evaluation criteria will be based on the points awarded on the committee's discretion. As pointed out in RFP 6.3 Evaluation Criteria and Point Value Table 2   |                               |
| 5 | 6/9/25        | There seems to be a discrepancy with the link labeled Exhibit E: Design Development Drawings at 65%. When you open this, it downloads 100% F&F drawings. Is the RFP pricing based on the attached 100% F&F drawings or are 65% Desing and Development Drawings?  | We have issued access to the Footing and Foundations dwgs. That surpasses 65% dwgs. To be based upon the F&F dwgs.   |                               |
| 6 | 6/9/25        | The proposal is weighted 25% on the cost proposal. The Scope of Services is listed in exhibit A. Exhibit B has T&M NTE price value as a single line-item A. Line-item B is fully burdened hourly rates (on a separate sheet). Without a basis of quantities/hours for the requested T&M NTE how would there be an apples-to-apples pricing comparison for this large-scale multi-year project between various firms? | Exhibit B has T&M NTE price value as a single line-item A. Line-item B is fully burdened hourly rates (on a separate sheet). The contractor is to provide an hourly rate of their employees, and the materials are actual costs projected. |                               |
| 7 | 6/9/25        | Plan sheet S003 contains the Special Inspection & Testing Schedule. Schedule Notes 1 states "ITEMS MARKED WITH AN "X" REQUIRE INSPECTION BY A SPECIAL INSPECTOR, ITEMS INDICATED WITH A "I" REQUIRE THE SPECIAL INSPECTOR TO   | The testing items designated "I" are to be performed by the selected firm and their designated subcontractors.   |                               |

[illegible]