Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

I. Overview

Approval of new research studies and dissemination of findings by Southcentral Foundation (SCF) requires that researchers meet certain standards established by the SCF Board of Directors and informed by community-based participatory research (CBPR). SCF has instituted these standards to ensure that research priorities are set by Alaska Native peoples in a manner that is culturally based and respectful due to the history of research with Alaska Native and American Indian (AN/AI) peoples. Researchers seeking approval from SCF are expected to carefully review this document and to complete a Requirements of Researchers Checklist with each submission.

II. History of Research with Alaska Native and American Indian Peoplesⁱ

The Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 (P.L. 93-638) codified self-determination of AN/AI peoples by enabling tribes and tribal organizations to contract directly with the United States federal government for health and education grants and thereby exercise greater control over their own welfare (Jones, 2006; Rhoades & Rhoades, 2014; Sherry, 2004). In addition to exercising the right to own and manage federally funded health services and programs under this process of self-governance (Barnard, 2015; Charlesworth, Jamieson, Davey, & Butler, 2015; Chino & Dubruyn, 2006; Gottlieb, 2013; Rajaram et al., 2014), many tribes and tribal organizations have established policies governing research with AN/AI community members (Chino & DeBruyn, 2006; Ferreira & Gendron, 2011; Jetter, Yarborough, Cassady, & Styne, 2015; Kelley, Belcourt-Dittloff, Belcourt C., & Belcourt G., 2013).

Research studies have concluded that AN/AI peoples distrust research (Buchwald et al., 2006; Christopher, 2005; Manson, Garroutte, Goins, & Henderson, 2004). Contributions to this distrust are multi-faceted and are intertwined with distrust of federal and other non-Native organizations, given their history of forced assimilation, discrimination, and other mistreatment. AN/AI peoples and communities have experienced harmful research, view most research as benefiting researchers but not AN/AI individuals or communities, and find sharing research results with respondents and communities lacking or unsatisfactory (Harding et al., 2012; Hiratsuka, Brown, Hoeft, & Dillard, 2012; Williams et al., 2010). Two research studies in Alaska are cited as examples of research that harmed the AN community and breached the trust between researchers and community members: the Alaskan I131 experiment and the Barrow Alcohol Study, both described in Dillard et al (2018).

III. Community-Based Participatory Research Standards

SCF requires all research meet key standards set forth by the SCF Board of Directors and based on the tenants of CBPR (Israel, Schulz, Parker, & Becker, 1998).

The AN/AI community is recognized as a unit of identity.

- Researchers will include an acknowledgement of appreciation for all participants of the study.
- Researchers will consider benefits and harms which extend beyond individual AN/AI participants to immediate and extended families and the AN/AI community.
- Benefits and risks will be carefully examined for potentially more vulnerable subgroups of the AN/AI community including elders, youth, and individuals who are incarcerated.

1

Revised 8/18/2025

Approved by the Southeentral Foundation Reard of Directors 01/24/2023

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

- Research will include context of AN/AI peoples for the topic of investigation including the following list of considerations:
 - o Historic and intergenerational implications of rapid assimilation
 - Cultural suppression
 - Lack of accessible healthcare
 - o Boarding School (Removals) Survivors

Research will build on the strengths and resources within the community.

- Research will consider whose story is being told through the research and use language that is respectful of the person(s) story.
- Research must use language that is not construed as negative to AN/AI peoples, list provided for reference.
- Research will emphasize wellness, assessing strengths and protective factors in addition to pathology and risks.
- Research will consider multiple dimensions of health, including physical, mental, social, and spiritual health.

Collaborative partnerships are facilitated in all phases of the research.

- Research will focus on issues prioritized by the AN/AI community.
- Whenever possible, AN/AI peoples will be involved in the design and conduct of the research. Research on sensitive topics such as alcohol and substance misuse, domestic violence, suicide, sexual behaviors, death and dying, treatment of elders or children, and historical customs must include AN/AI co-investigators.

Knowledge and action are integrated for benefit, for science, and for Alaska Native peoples.

- The primary intent of research will be to benefit the health of and systems of care for AN/AI peoples.
- The time and energy devoted to research by AN/AI peoples as well as tribal healthcare systems are valuable and limited resources. Thus, the research project must be well designed and of sufficient importance to warrant investment of resources.

Research is a co-learning and empowering process that attends to social inequalities.

- AN/Al peoples will be appreciated, respected, and valued while participating in the research. Researchers will carefully consider language used in research protocols, measures, manuscripts, and presentations.
- Research will use a trauma-informed approach. A trauma-informed approach
 acknowledges historical and other forms of trauma, violence, and harm levied
 against AN/AI peoples. Researchers will be aware of current and past power
 differentials and promote safety, empowerment, transparency, and choice in the
 research process.

Research involves a cyclical, iterative process.

Researchers will obtain SCF review approval at each step of the research process and address required changes or suggestions. Researchers will obtain approval of the general concept of the research project, the detailed methods and measurements present in the full research protocol, and any manuscript or abstract before publication and presentation.

2

Revised 8/18/2025

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

• Findings should inform subsequent research and/or healthcare system improvements.

Research addresses health from positive and ecological perspectives.

- Researchers will consider and include important social, economic, historical, political, and environmental factors in the research.
- Researchers will consider the impact to the psyche of AN/AI peoples when they are
 described as having more illness and more risk factors compared to other
 populations. Negative comparisons will be made only when necessary.

Findings and knowledge gained are disseminated to all partners.

 Research findings will be shared with AN/AI peoples as well as SCF employees and programs which may be impacted by the research findings.

Revised 8/18/2025

3

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

IV. Checklist

Investigators are required to answer each item listed on this form and provide a Y, N or NA response. If the item does not apply to your submission, please provide an explanation of why it does not apply. Please provide a detailed response to all questions that require further explanation or rationale. Your responses will help SCF Research Review assess the submission.

#	Research Requirement	Response (Y/N/NA)		
	Risks			
1	Benefits and harms to immediate and extended			
	family and/or the AN/AI community as a whole are delineated.			
	If no or NA, please explain.			
2	Research will involve youth, elders, and/or			
	individuals who are incarcerated.			
	If yes, please provide rationale for inclusion,			
	potential benefits and harms, and additional protections.			
	If youth are involved, please describe how the			
	research team will undergo and submit results of			
	background checks and finger printing.			
	If youth are involved AND parental consent and			
	youth assent will not be obtained, please provide a justification.			
	1 3	/le		
3	AN/AI peoples and tribal affiliations are referred to			
	according to required language. When working with			
	people from a specific region use the terminology			
	they are preferred as. Required language includes:			
	1) Unangax̂ (Aleut), Sugpiaq (Alutiiq), Haida, Tsimshian, Tlingit, Yup'ik / Cup'ik / Cup'ig, Inupiaq,			
	Dena (Athabascan), Ahtna, etc. rather than Eskimo,			
	Indian, Aleut.			
	2) When working with multiple regions, use the			
	terminology Alaska Native peoples or Indigenous			
	peoples.			

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

	Concept Proposal		
#	Research Requirement	Response (Y/N/NA)	
	If no or NA, please explain.		
4	Research will include context of AN/AI peoples for		
	the topic of investigation including the following list		
	of considerations: (1) historic and intergenerational		
	implications of rapid assimilation; (2) cultural		
	suppression; (3) lack of accessible healthcare; (4)		
	boarding school (removals) survivors.		
	If no or NA, please explain.		
5	The research considers whose story is being told		
	through the research and use of language that is		
	respectful to the person(s) story.		
	If no or NA, please explain.		
6	Required language is used to avoid language that		
	could be construed as negative to AN/AI peoples.		
	Required language includes:		
	1) research participant rather than research subject;		
	2) an individual with a positive test rather than		
	infected;		
	3) an individual with an illness rather than disease;		
	3) an individual with substance misuse rather than		
	substance abuse;		
	4) an individual with alcohol overuse rather than an		
	individual with heavy drinking;		
	5) an individual with a behavior or diagnosis (e.g.,		
	individual who smokes, individual with schizophrenia) rather than an individual defined by		
	a behavior or diagnosis (e.g., smokers,		
	schizophrenics);		
	6) bacterium or virus rather than germs;		
	7) Alaska Native and American Indian peoples		
	rather than Natives or Native Alaskans;		
	8) Using Alaska Native/American Indian (AN/AI)		
	peoples when referring to studies that take place in		
	Alaska and other states as well as studies in Alaska		
	Alaska and other states as well as studies in Alaska		

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

#	Research Requirement	Response (Y/N/NA)
Ħ	which involve customer-owners who are American	Response (Trivina)
	Indian	
	9) Customer-owner, patient, and Alaska Native	
	community should be used in circumstances in	
	which they are appropriate.	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
7	Statements and areas of focus are strengths-based	
-	(e.g., "increase the number of people who do not	
	smoke" rather than "decrease the number of people	
	who smoke").	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
8	Language used assumes equal power between	
	researchers and participants as well as providers	
	and people they serve. For instance, compliance is	
	a term which should be avoided as it implies that	
	providers know what is best for the people they	
	serve. Treatment plans should be co-created	
	between providers and those they serve.	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
9	The first line of the project narrative is strength-	
	based and does not state that AN/Al peoples have	
	more illness and more risk factors than other	
	populations.	
40	If no, please explain.	
10	Alaska Native and American Indian peoples are	
	repeatedly described as having more illness and	
	more risk factors as compared to other populations.	
	If yes or NA, please provide a rationale for the	
	number of comparisons made.	hods
11	The research emphasizes wellness, measuring	iious
11	strengths and protective factors in addition to	
	pathology and risks.	
	-	
	If no or NA, please explain.	

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

#	Research Requirement	Response (Y/N/NA)
12	The research includes multiple dimensions of health	itesponse (Tritina)
12	(e.g., physical, mental, social, spiritual).	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
13	Materials describe how the focus of the research is a	
	community-identified priority.	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
14	Provide details about engagement with the AN/AI	
	community throughout the research.	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
15	The research includes a comparison group or	
	control group.	
	If no or NA, please provide a rationale for the	
16	selected design. Research practices which limit transparency and	
10	choice are avoided. Rationale is provided for	
	research that uses deception or randomization.	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
17	Were any Al tools used to develop research	
	materials?	
	If yes or NA, please explain.	
		urces
18	The research will produce financial benefit.	
	If yes or NA, please explain.	
19	Any financial benefits produced by the research will	
	be shared with the AN/AI community.	
21	If no or NA, please explain. Potential improvements to the health of and/or	
21	systems of care for AN/AI peoples are delineated.	
	If no or NA, please explain.	
22	If healthcare system resources are required for the	
	research project, there is evidence that the	
	healthcare system has agreed to invest these	
	resources and/or the budget includes appropriate	
	compensation.	

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

	2 on top the post of the post			
#	Research Requirement	Response (Y/N/NA)		
	If no or NA, please explain.			
	Dissemination			
23	Research findings will be shared with research			
	participants.			
	If no or NA, please explain.			
24	Aggregated results will be shared with the Alaska			
	Native/American Indian community.			
	If no or NA, please explain.			
25	The dissemination plan includes SCF employees			
	and programs which may be impacted by the			
	research findings.			
	If no or NA, please explain.			

Barnard, J. B. (2015). Responding to public health emergencies on tribal lands: jurisdictional challenges and practical solutions. Yale J. Health Pol'y L. & Ethics, 15, 251.

Buchwald, D., Mendoza-Jenkins, V., Croy, C., McGough, H., Bezdek, M., & Spicer, P. (2006). Attitudes of urban American Indians and Alaska Natives regarding participation in research. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 21(6), 648-651.

Charlesworth, K., Jamieson, M., Davey, R., & Butler, C. D. (2015). Transformational change in healthcare: an examination of four case studies. Australian Health Review, 40(2), 163-167.

Chino, M., & DeBruyn, L. (2006). Building true capacity: Indigenous models for indigenous communities. American journal of public health, 96(4), 596-599.

Christopher, S. (2005). Recommendations for conducting successful research with Native Americans. Journal of Cancer Education, 20(S1), 47-51.

Requirements of Researchers Concept Proposal

Dillard, D. A., Caindec, K., Dirks, L. G., & Hiratsuka, V. Y. (2018). Challenges in engaging and disseminating health research results among Alaska Native and American Indian people in Southcentral Alaska. American Indian and Alaska native mental health research (Online), 25(1), 3.

Ferreira, M. P., & Gendron, F. (2011). Community-based participatory research with traditional and indigenous communities of the Americas: Historical context and future directions.

Gottlieb, K. (2013). The Nuka System of Care: Improving health through ownership and relationships. International Journal of Circumpolar Health, 72(1), 21118. doi:10.3402/ijch.v72i0.21118.

Harding, A., Harper, B., Stone, D., O'Neill, C., Berger, P., Harris, S., & Donatuto, J. (2012). Conducting research with tribal communities: sovereignty, ethics, and data-sharing issues. Environmental health perspectives, 120(1), 6-10.

Hiratsuka, V., Brown, J. K., Hoeft, T. J., & Dillard, D. A. (2012). Alaska Native people's perceptions, understandings, and expectations for research involving biological specimens. *International journal of circumpolar health*, 71(1), 18642.

Israel, B. A., Schulz, A. J., Parker, E. A., & Becker, A. B. (1998). Review of community-based research: assessing partnership approaches to improve public health. Annual review of public health, 19(1), 173-202.

Jetter, K. M., Yarborough, M., Cassady, D. L., & Styne, D. M. (2015). Building research capacity with members of underserved American Indian/Alaskan Native communities: Training in research ethics and the protection of human subjects. *Health Promotion Practice*, 16(3), 419-425.

Jones, D. S. (2006). The persistence of American Indian health disparities. American journal of public health, 96(12), 2122-2134.

Kelley, A., Belcourt-Dittloff, A., Belcourt, C., & Belcourt, G. (2013). Research ethics and indigenous communities. American journal of public health, 103(12), 2146-2152.

Manson, S. M., Garroutte, E., Goins, R. T., & Henderson, P. N. (2004). Access, relevance, and control in the research process. Journal of Aging and Health, 16(5 suppl), 58S-77S.

Rajaram, S. S., Grimm, B., Giroux, J., Peck, M., & Ramos, A. (2014). Partnering with American Indian communities in health using methods of strategic collaboration. *Progress in Community Health Partnerships: Research, Education, and Action, 8*(3), 387-395.

Rhoades, E. R., & Rhoades, D. A. (2014). The public health foundation of health services for American Indians & Alaska Natives. American Journal of Public Health, 104(S3), S278-S285.

Sherry, P. (2004). Health care delivery for Alaska Natives: a brief overview. International journal of circumpolar health, 63(sup2), 54-62.

Williams, D. R., & Sternthal, M. (2010). Understanding racial-ethnic disparities in health: sociological contributions. Journal of health and social behavior, 51(1 suppl), S15-S27.

¹ Adapted from several SCF publications, including: Dillard, D. A., Caindec, K., Dirks, L. G., & Hiratsuka, V. Y. (2018). Challenges in engaging and disseminating health research results among Alaska Native And American Indian people in southcentral Alaska. American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research (Online), 25(1), 3-18. doi:https://doi-org.ezproxyhhs.nihlibrary.nih.gov/10.5820/aian.2501.2018.3